Attorney's Docket No.: 21545-116001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Zví Yanív

Art Unit : 1762

Serial No.: 10/633,335

Examiner: Elena Tsoy

Filed

: August 1, 2003

Conf. No. : 4189

Title

: REMOTE IDENTIFICATION OF EXPLOSIVES AND OTHER HARMFUL

MATERIALS

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450. Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF

In the Examiner's Answer, dated June 6, 2007, with respect to the § 102 rejection, the Examiner has asserted that the Hubby and Ouellet references have been cited in support of the assertion that physisorption is inherently disclosed in the primary reference. However, regardless of whether or not such physisorption is described in the Hubby and Ouellet references, citing them as the Examiner has done, does not in any way prove that such physisorption necessarily flows from the teachings of the primary reference. The Examiner has still not met the burden of showing how physisorption is inherent within the primary reference. MPEP § 2112. With respect to the remainder of the Examiner's responses in the Examiner's Answer, Applicants consider that such responses do not overcome Applicants' arguments in the Appeal Brief.

Please apply any necessary charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted.

Date: July 5, 2007

Kelly K. Kordzik

Fish & Richardson P.C. One Congress Plaza, Suite 810 111 Congress Avenue Austin, TX 78701

Telephone: (512) 226-8148 Facsimile: (512) 320-8935

11022738.doc